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## Tensors and diagrams cont'd:

In this lecture we will do a bit of practice with graphical computations. The emphasis is on understanding the evaluation of individual pictures/diagrams. Later we will consider expansions involving infinitely many diagrams which will require more notions from combinatorics, as far as how to properly encode these pictures.

Let us start with the $n=2$ case of the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem from the last lecture. The LHS of the equation, involving the antisymmetrizer of size 3 , multiplied by $3!=6$ in order to get rid of the denominators, becomes


after expansion of the antisymmetrizer. Therefore, we have, after evaluating the 6 pictures, in the same order, and stating the equality $\forall i, j$, as an equality of matrices:

$$
6 \times \mathrm{LHS}=(\operatorname{tr} A)^{2} I+A^{2}+A^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right) I-(\operatorname{tr} A) A-(\operatorname{tr} A) A .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \times \mathrm{LHS}=A^{2}-(\operatorname{tr} A) A+\frac{(\operatorname{tr} A)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)}{2} I \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the 2 by 2 matrix $A$, the characteristic polynomial is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(\lambda I-A) & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda-A_{11} & -A_{12} \\
-A_{21} & \lambda-A_{22}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\lambda^{2}-(\operatorname{tr} A) \lambda+\operatorname{det}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

whereas

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(\operatorname{tr} A)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)}{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(A_{11}+A_{22}\right)^{2}-\left(A_{11} A_{11}+A_{12} A_{21}+A_{21} A_{12}+A_{22} A_{22}\right)\right] \\
& =A_{11} A_{22}-A_{12} A_{21} \\
& =\operatorname{det}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

So (1) is indeed the result of substituting $A$ for $\lambda$ in the characteristic polynomial. Namely, for $n=2$, we checked that the graphical equation at the end of Lecture 4 is the statement of the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.

Homogeneous polynomials: Consider a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ in $n$ variables

$$
F(x)=F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\|\alpha|=d}} f_{\alpha} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}
$$

Since we are working over the infinite field $\mathbb{C}$, we will not distinguish the formal polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ from the associated polynomial function $\mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, because we have a bijective correspondence between these two notions. Such homogeneous polynomials $F$ are in bijective correspondence with symmetric tensors:

$$
F \longleftrightarrow\left(F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}}\right)_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d} \in[n]} \in \mathbb{C}_{2}^{[n]^{d}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n^{d}}
$$

Here symmetric means that the tensor satisfies, $\forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{d}, \forall\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}\right) \in[n]^{d}$,

$$
F_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, i_{\sigma(d)}}=F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}}
$$

The correspondence is defined by imposing, that for all $x$,

$$
F(x)=\sum_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}\right) \in[n]^{d}} F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}} .
$$

Since we are dealing with a symmetric tensor, we will use a more symmetrical or round graphical representation for the tensor $F$ :


$$
:=F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}}
$$

As a result


$$
=\sum_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}\right) \in[n]^{d}} F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}}=F(x) .
$$

For a given homogeneous polynomial $F(x)$, we need the precise relationship between "the sum of monomials" description

$$
F(x)=\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\|\alpha|=d}} f_{\alpha} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}
$$

and the censorial description

$$
F(x)=\sum_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}\right) \in[n]^{d}}^{3} F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}}
$$

i.e., the relation between the tensor entries $F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}}$ and the monomial coefficients $f_{\alpha}$. Let us define a map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu: {[n]^{d} } \\
& I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}\right) \longmapsto \mathbb{N}^{n} \\
& \longmapsto \mu(I)
\end{aligned}
$$

as follows. For all $j \in[n]$, we let the $j$-th component of the multiindex $\mu(I)$ be

$$
\mu(I)_{j}:=\left|\left\{\ell \in[d] \mid i_{\ell}=j\right\}\right| .
$$

We call $\mu(I)$ the multiplicities multiindex of the index sequence $I$ because it simply counts how many times the index value 1 appears, how many times the index value 2 appears, etc. The map is surjective and a section (map given by a choice of preimage) is provided by

$$
\alpha \longmapsto 1^{\alpha_{1}} 2^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots n^{\alpha_{n}}:=(1, \ldots, 1,2, \ldots, 2, \ldots, n \ldots, n)
$$

where 1 appears $\alpha_{1}$ times, followed by 2 appearing $\alpha_{2}$ times, and so on. We now have, with perhaps too much detail,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =\sum_{\substack{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}\right) \in[n]^{d}}} F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}} \\
& =\sum_{I \in[n]^{d}} 1 \times F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}} \\
& =\sum_{I \in[n]^{d}}\left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\
|\alpha|=d}} \mathbb{1}\{\mu(I)=\alpha\}\right) \times F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}} \\
& =\sum_{I \in[n]^{d}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\
|\alpha|=d}} \mathbb{1}\{\mu(I)=\alpha\} \times F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\
|\alpha|=d}} \sum_{I \in[n]^{d}} \mathbb{1}\{\mu(I)=\alpha\} \times F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\
|\alpha|=d}} \sum_{I \in[n]^{d}} \mathbb{1}\{\mu(I)=\alpha\} \times F_{1^{\alpha_{1}} 2^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots n^{\alpha_{n}}} x^{\alpha} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\
|\alpha|=d}} F_{1^{\alpha_{1}} 2^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots n^{\alpha_{n}}} x^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{I \in[n]^{d}} \mathbb{1}\{\mu(I)=\alpha\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we have

$$
\sum_{I \in[n]^{d}} \mathbb{1}\{\mu(I)=\alpha\}=\binom{d}{\alpha_{1}}\binom{d-\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}} \cdots\binom{d-\alpha_{1} \cdots-\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_{n}}=\frac{d!}{\alpha_{1}!\cdots \alpha_{n}!}
$$

which is called the multinomial coefficient usually denoted by

$$
\binom{d}{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}
$$

We will also use the notation $\binom{d}{\alpha}=\frac{d!}{\alpha!}$ where $\alpha!:=\alpha_{1}!\cdots \alpha_{n}!$. The above count corresponds to choosing where to put the 1's among $d$ spots, where to put the 2's, etc. when forming an index sequence $I$ with imposed multiplicity multiindex $\alpha$. Since the coefficients in the monomial expansion are uniquely determined, we get the relation

$$
\binom{d}{\alpha} F_{1^{\alpha_{1}} 2^{\alpha_{2} \ldots n^{\alpha_{n}}}}=f_{\alpha}
$$

Equivalently, because of the symmetry of the tensor, for all $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}\right) \in[n]^{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{I}=\frac{1}{\binom{d}{\alpha}} f_{\alpha} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\mu(I)$. With this elementary but important relation in hand we will now do some practice with graphical computations while revisiting some early childhood mathematics.

Solving quadratic equations: When $a \neq 0$, the solutions to the quadratic equation

$$
a x^{2}+b x+c=0
$$

are of course given by the formula

$$
x=\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}
$$

which features the fundamental quantity $\Delta_{2}:=b^{2}-4 a c$ or discriminant. The latter detects root collision.

The previous discussion suggests the need for a homogeneous polynomial in order to bring graphical calculus into play. So we change the name of the variable $x$ to $x_{1}$ and introduce a new variable $x_{2}$ to be used as padding material, in order to make all the terms of $f(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c$ become of total degree 2. Namely, we define the polynomial

$$
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=a x_{1}^{2}+b x_{1} x_{2}+c x_{2}^{2} .
$$

This is called the homogeneization of $f$. Its tensorial representation is

$$
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=F_{11} x_{1}^{2}+2 F_{12} x_{1} x_{2}+F_{22} x_{2}^{2}
$$

and therefore the entries of the corresponding symmetric tensor are

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{11} & =a \\
F_{12} & =\frac{b}{2} \\
F_{21} & =\frac{b}{2} \\
F_{22} & =c .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will need a new elementary building block for our diagrammatic computations corresponding to the tensor (or matrix rather)

$$
\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in[2]}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We let

$$
i \leftarrow j
$$

$$
:=\varepsilon_{i j}
$$

We now have the following result.
Proposition 1. The discriminant is given by


$$
\Delta_{2}=-2 \times
$$

Proof: By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (F) F }
\end{aligned}
$$

since there are four elementary pieces assembled (two $F$ 's and two $\varepsilon$ 's) and four sutures/junction points (and therefore four indices to be summed over). The last sum written in full (ignoring terms giving zero when $i=k$ or $j=\ell$ ) is

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{11} F_{22}+F_{12} F_{21} \times(-1)+F_{21} F_{12} \times(-1)+F_{22} F_{11}(-1)^{2} \\
=2 F_{11} F_{22}-2 F_{12}^{2}=2 a c-\frac{b^{2}}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

after substituting the values of the $F$ tensor entries, and the proposition follows.
Solving cubic equations: Let us consider the case of cubic equations

$$
a x^{3}+b x^{2}+c x+d=0
$$

with $a \neq 0$. By dividing by $a$, one can reduce the general situation to the case $a=1$ which we now assume. Then one can "complete the cube" by writing the equation as

$$
\left(x+\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3}+\cdots=0
$$

and also change variables to $x+\frac{b}{3}$ instead of $x$ (a particular case of a Tschirnhaus transformation). This brings us to the case of depressed or reduced cubic equations $f(x)=0$ with

$$
f(x)=x^{3}+p x+q .
$$

The solutions are then given by the so-called Cardano formula

$$
x=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}+\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}
$$

A priori, there are three choices for the cube roots, so a total of 9 possibilities, however, one has to pick the cube roots such that their product is equal to $-\frac{p}{2}$. Note the conspicuous quantity

$$
\Delta_{3}=\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}
$$

which up to a constant multiple is the discriminant which here too detects root collision. Like before, we introduce the homogeneization of $f$ given by

$$
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=x_{1}^{3}+p x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+q x_{2}^{3},
$$

and the corresponding symmetric tensor $\left(F_{i j k}\right)_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3}$, as well as its graphical representation by a round "blob" with three legs. From (2) we read off the tensor entries which are given explicitly by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{111} & =1 \\
F_{112} & =0 \\
F_{122} & =\frac{p}{3} \\
F_{222} & =q,
\end{aligned}
$$

while the missing entries can be deduced by changing the position of indices, since the tensor is symmetric.

Proposition 2. We have

$$
\Delta_{3}=-\frac{1}{8} \times
$$



Proof: By definition, the evaluation of the picture is given by a sum over twelve indices which results in 4096 terms which are product of four $F$ tensor entries and six $\varepsilon$ matrix entries. Note that the antisymmetry of the matrix $\varepsilon$ forces the value of the second index if we know that of the first. So this reduces the sum to $2^{6}=64$ terms which is still a lot. We will therefore try to use a more efficient way of evaluating the diagram. This will show us along the way some tricks one can do like substituting complex diagrammatic structures inside blobs.

We start by introducing a new vector $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ together with its graphical representation and write

as a definition of the $y$-dependent quadratic form $Q$ in the $x$ variables. The blob of $Q$ is equal to the part of the picture in the round dotted box. Using the previous section on
quadratics, we have


We now have the easy calculations with single index contractions:



As a result, we have


$$
=2\left[y_{1}\left(\frac{p}{3} y_{1}+q y_{2}\right)-\left(\frac{p}{3} y_{2}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

$$
=\frac{2 p}{3} y_{1}^{2}+2 q y_{1} y_{2}-\frac{2 p^{2}}{9} y_{2}^{2} .
$$

We now trade the $y$ 's for the original $x$ variables, i.e., do the substitution $y:=x$ in the previous equation. This gives


$$
=\frac{2 p}{3} x_{1}^{2}+2 q x_{1} x_{2}-\frac{2 p^{2}}{9} x_{2}^{2}=: H(x)
$$

which defines the so-called Hessian $H(x)$ of the cubic $F$. The Hessian also has a graphical representation


$$
H(x)=
$$

but identifying the blob of $H$ as some encapsulation of a structure made of $F$ 's requires some care. We have

where we inserted a symmetrizer (because one side made of $x$ 's is symmetric) at first, so $H$ is symmetric, only to realize as a second step that here, by accident, the inner $F$ structure is already symmetric. This is because reversing an $\varepsilon$ arrow produces a $(-1)$ factor, and here we would reverse two arrows which results in no net change.

Finally, again using the section on quadratics we a have


From the equation above where $H(x)$ was defined we immediately read off the tensor/matrix entries

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{11} & =\frac{2 p}{3} \\
H_{12} & =q \\
H_{22} & =-\frac{2 p^{2}}{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

which upon substitution in the expression $2\left(H_{11} H_{22}-H_{12}^{2}\right)$ and multiplication by $-\frac{1}{8}$ gives the expression $\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}$ and proves the proposition.
Remark 1. The above computations come from 19th century invariant theory, in particular that of binary $(n=2)$ forms (homogeneous polynomials). The first fundamental theorem of classical invariant theory for $S L_{2}$ says that every polynomial in the coefficients of a form $F$ which is invariant under linear change of coordinates by an element of $S L_{2}$ must be a linear combination of pictures made of $F$ blobs and $\varepsilon$ arrows. This generalizes to $S L_{n}$. Note also that the entire theory of angular momentum in quantum mechanics can be done with this kind of graphical calculus. In particular one can write formulas with pictures for $\mathrm{SU}_{2}$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients $\left\langle j_{1}, m_{1}, j_{2}, m_{2} \mid J, M\right\rangle$, but that is another story.

Remark 2. (As per Diana's question) Discriminants generalize to higher dimensions. If $F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{n}(x)$ are $n$ homogeneous polynomials in $n$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, of respective degrees $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$, then there is a unique polynomial $\operatorname{Res}\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)$ in the coefficients of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ which satisfies the following properties.
(1) $\operatorname{Res}\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)=0$ iff $\exists x \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \forall i \in[n], F_{i}(x)=0$.
(2) $\forall i \in[n], \operatorname{Res}\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)$ is homogeneous of degree $\prod_{j \neq i} d_{j}$ in the coefficients of $F_{i}$.
(3) $\operatorname{Res}\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)=1$ when $F_{1}(x)=x_{1}^{d_{1}}, \ldots, F_{n}(x)=x_{n}^{d_{n}}$ (the "diagonal case").

This is called the (multidimensional) resultant. If $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)=(1, \ldots, 1)$ then the resultant is just the determinant of the matrix formed by the coefficients of the linear forms $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$. Now if $H(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ in $n$ variables, one can define $F_{i}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{i}}$ and then take the resultant of these $F_{i}$ 's. By definition, this is the discriminant of $H$, and
it detects if the hypersurface $\{H(x)=0\}$ is singular (discriminant is zero) or smooth (discriminant is nonzero). The case $n=2$ corresponds to hypersurfaces in a space of dimension 1, which are just collections of points with possible multiplicities. Smooth means the points are distinct, while singular means there are point/root collisions. This puts the elementary $\Delta_{2}=b^{2}-4 a c$ under the same roof as much deeper and more complicated objects, i.e., discriminants of hypersurfaces in arbitrary dimension.

